

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,429	06/24/2003	Sridhar Sadasivan	01333	9648	
7590 06/09/2006			EXAMINER		
Milton S. Sales			TUROCY, DAVID P		
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/602,429	SADASIVAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Turocy	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo e, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 N	<u> 1arch 2006</u> .	Ý			
a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-35,42 and 43 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-35 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21,42 and 43 is/are rejected. 					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey stion is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	A\	N Summary (BTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/602,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments, filed 3/31/06, have been fully considered and reviewed by the examiner. Then examiner notes the amendment to the claims to include the limitation "organic" and therefore the 35 USC 112 1st paragraph rejection has been withdrawn. The examiner notes the amendments to the claims to include the conditions of "temperature and/or pressure". Claims 1-35, 42, and 43 are pending with claims 22-35 withdrawn due to a restriction requirement.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-21, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for electroluminescent organic material that exhibits polymorphism (same organic material with multiple crystal structures), does not reasonably provide enablement for any electroluminescent organic material to be discharged at a second temperature and pressure and result in a second spectral peak.

Art Unit: 1762

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification clearly enables one of ordinary skill in the art to use the process as claimed using an electroluminescent organic material which exhibits polymorphism, wherein polymorphism is the same material that has multiple crystal structures, each structure with different physical and mechanical properties. However, the specification does not provide additionally direction or working examples to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide any electroluminescent organic material, all of which are within the scope of the claimed invention, wherein altering the temperature and/or pressure will result in changing the spectral peak of the deposited film. The specification does not provide enablement for the electroluminescent organic material that do not exhibit polymorphism to be deposited as claimed

The other dependant claims do not cure the defects of the claims from which they depend.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-21 and 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims require the depositing the electroluminescent organic material over the first electrode at a first area and a second area and discloses containing the mixture under a first and second temperature and/or pressure and additionally claims the electroluminescent organic material in the first area has a first spectral peak due to the first temperature and/or pressure and the electroluminescent organic material in the second area has a second spectral peak due to the second temperature and/or pressure. The claims fails to positively claim that the material under the first temperature and/or pressure is deposited on the first area and the material under the second temperature and/or pressure is deposited on the second area. Therefore the claims is indefinite because it is not clear how for example the second area has a spectral peak due to the second temperature and/or pressure when the claim does not require the material under a second temperature and pressure to be deposited on the second area.

The other dependant claims do not cure the defects of the claims from which they depend.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Turocy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00, No 2nd Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,429

Art Unit: 1762

Page 6

David Turocy AU 1762

TIMOTHY MEEKS

OURSERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER